Breeding habitat of poorly studied humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) in Boa Vista, Cape Verde
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ABSTRACT

The waters surrounding Cape Verde comprise one of two known breeding grounds for humpback whales in the North Atlantic. The population remains very small and has apparently failed to recover since the cessation of whaling there. During the breeding seasons of 2011 and 2012, sighting surveys were carried out for humpback whales off Boa Vista, the easternmost island of the Cape Verde Island archipelago. The distribution and relative abundance of humpback whales and mother-calf pairs was investigated by plotting effort-corrected sightings using a 2km² grid-square. The study area, a 206km² region from the coastline up to 8km offshore, covered the western half of Boa Vista where whales have previously been regularly recorded. Following 1,954km of search effort, 117 sightings of humpback whales were made. An encounter rate of 0.11 whales per km² was recorded for both years. It is hoped that these data may assist in implementing conservation measures to protect humpback whales and the habitat of Baia Sal Rei, which appears to be the single most important bay for winter breeding, calving and nursing humpback whales in the eastern North Atlantic.
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INTRODUCTION

Humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) are known to undertake the longest known migrations among mammals (Clapham and Mead, 1999; Robbins et al., 2011; Stevick et al., 2011) spending the summer feeding at temperate or high latitudes and wintering in shallow tropical waters where they breed and calve (Clapham and Mead, 1999). In the North Atlantic, humpback whales feed in five primary feeding grounds: Gulf of Maine, Newfoundland/Labrador, Greenland, Iceland and Norway before travelling south to two known breeding grounds: West Indies and Cape Verde, where they mix spatially and genetically (Smith et al. 1999; Stevick et al., 2003). The majority of North Atlantic humpback whales winter in the West Indies. The presence of calves and breeding behaviour indicate that the waters surrounding the Cape Verde Islands also constitute a breeding habitat for humpback whales, which has not been studied intensively (Hazevoet et al., 2011; Hazevoet and Wenzel, 2000; Jann et al., 2003; Ryan et al., 2014; Wenzel et al., 2009).

The most recent population size estimate for humpback whales in the North Atlantic for the period 1996–2005 is 17,744 (95% CI 12,061–32,597; Smith and Pike, 2009). The putative Cape Verde population has been estimated at ca 100 individuals in 2001 from limited mark-recapture data (Punt et al., 2006); however a minimum estimate (based on the total number of identified individuals as of February 2013) of 150 individuals is apparent from more extensive photo-identification data (Fred Wenzel, unpublished data). This suggests a large discrepancy between the estimated number of whales on the two known North Atlantic breeding grounds and the total abundance estimate for the entire North Atlantic Ocean of several thousand animals, suggesting that other breeding grounds may exist in the North Atlantic which have yet to be discovered (Punt et al., 2006; Smith and Pike, 2009).

Prior to commercial whaling during the 18th century, Punt et al. (2006) estimated the Cape Verde population to be ca 5,000 whales. Analysis by Reeves et al. (2002) concluded that prior to whaling, the density of whales around the Cape Verde Islands was once comparable to that of the major breeding areas in the West Indies, which is certainly no longer the case. Despite the impacts of historical whaling on this population, and its apparent lack of recovery (in contrast to most other humpback whale populations), it is still encompassed under the species listing as ‘Least Concern’ by the IUCN (Reilly et al., 2008). Recent genetic evidence however, suggests that the humpbacks breeding in Cape Verde are discrete from those in the West Indies and as such may constitute one of the most endangered humpback whale populations in the world (Bérubé et al., 2013); this would suggest consideration of this as a separate ‘sub-population’ in IUCN parllance (www.iucnredlist.org).

This small and little-known population may be particularly at risk from coastal development and vessel traffic associated with the rapidly expanding resort tourism, which may already have had negative effects on humpback whales around Sal Island (north of Boa Vista) and sea turtle nesting habitat (Wenzel et al., 2009; Taylor and Cozens, 2010). Results are presented here, from a survey of an area...
that has previously been suggested as an important habitat for humpback whales in Cape Verde (Hazevoet and Wenzel, 2000; Wenzel et al., 2009). The aim is to describe the distribution and relative abundance of adult whales and females with dependent calves around Boa Vista: an island which has large areas of shallow continental shelf waters.

**METHODS**

Dedicated humpback whale sighting surveys were carried out in the continental shelf waters to the west of Boa Vista, Cape Verde (Fig. 1) during the spring/winter breeding, calving and nursing period in two consecutive years (10 April–17 May 2011 and 14 April–15 May 2012). The study area, comprising 206 km² of inshore waters (up to 8km from shore) off western Boa Vista, was chosen based on high sightings rates from previous expeditions (Wenzel et al., 2009). Surveys were conducted from a 5m rigid-hulled inflatable boat, by two observers and a driver. Observers scanned the sea with the naked eye at an eye-height above sea level of ca 2m and surveys were conducted at a speed of 7–10 knots. Search effort was recorded continuously using a *Garmin Etrex* GPS. Once a sighting was made, search effort was postponed while individual identification photographs (fluke and right/left side dorsal fin) and biopsy samples (skin and blubber) were collected. Upon approaching a group of whales, data on group size and group composition were recorded: number of calves, number of adults and the presence of competitive groups (after Clapham et al., 1992). Calves were defined as animals less than half the length of the adults with which they are most closely associated, which are assumed to be mothers. In order to reduce duplicate sightings during the surveys, attempts were made to photograph the left and right sides of the adult female dorsal fin, as a means to recognise individuals in the field (tail-fluke images are traditionally used, however females with calves rarely raise their flukes in shallow waters), e.g. see Hammond et al. (1990).

Each survey route was decided upon *ad hoc* depending on sea-state conditions, which can be quite challenging in Cape Verde given the strong incessant trade winds and frequently large swell. Attempts were made to cover each part of the study area equally during each season. Most surveys started and ended at Sal Rei in Boa Vista. However during 2012, three surveys commenced at Lacacao on the south side of the island (Fig. 1). Sightings and search effort were mapped using Arc GIS (version 10). Sightings were stratified for mothers with calves. A 2km² grid square was used to sub-divide the study area, as the effective strip-width was considered to be ca 2km (given an eye height of 2m and swell height which was never <1m). Sightings rate was corrected for effort by considering the number of sightings per km of survey effort conducted per grid square. Sightings...
were categorised according to presence of a mother with a calf, mother calf and escorts or adult(s) only, and plotted accordingly in order to identify areas of particular importance for nursing mothers.

RESULTS
A total of 74 surveys was carried out (40 in 2011 and 34 in 2012) amounting to 1,954 km of search effort (Table 1). Sighting rates were similar in 2011 and 2012 (0.10 and 0.16 whales per km respectively), and encounter rates were not consistently higher for any particular month across years (Table 1). For both years combined, the number of whales sighted per km was 0.11 for adults and 0.02 for calves. The encounter rate for calves was higher in 2012 (0.03 whales per km) than in 2011 (0.01 whales per km), however this may have been influenced by the confounding effect of sea state which was higher on average during 2011 (Table 1). It is important to note that some of these records included re-sightings of individuals of dolphins, i.e. photo-identification of dorsal fins indicated that sightings were not always of unique individuals (data presented in Table 1).

Tail fluke images obtained during the surveys have been lodged with the North Atlantic Humpback Whale Catalogue, curated by Allied Whale, Bar Harbour, Maine, USA. The mean (±SD) group sizes in the present study were similar for both years: 2.04 (1.29) in 2011 and 1.80 (0.79) in 2012. The maximum group size was six during 2011 and five during 2012. Four competitive groups were encountered where group sizes ranged between five and six during 2011 and four in 2012 (Table 2). It is notable that during both years, sightings of competitive groups were confined to the third week in April.

Baia Sal Rei, the largest bay in Boa Vista contained the highest abundance of humpback whales (see Fig. 2). Santa Monica contained fewer humpback whales during our surveys, while at the southern end of the island intermediate abundances of humpback whales were found, albeit this was based on lower effort (Fig. 2). Groups of whales which included calves (31.6% of all sightings) were exclusively within 4 km of the coast, in shallow waters (Figs 1 and 3; Table 1). High sightings per unit effort were detected within 4 km of the coast, in shallower waters (Figs 1 and 3; Table 1). For both years combined, the number of whales sighted per km was 0.11 for adults and 0.02 for calves. The encounter rate for calves was higher in 2012 (0.03 whales per km) than in 2011 (0.01 whales per km), however this may have been influenced by the confounding effect of sea state which was higher on average during 2011 (Table 1). It is important to note that some of these records included re-sightings of individual whales, i.e. photo-identification of dorsal fins indicated that sightings were not always of unique individuals (data presented in Table 1).

Tail fluke images obtained during the surveys have been lodged with the North Atlantic Humpback Whale Catalogue, curated by Allied Whale, Bar Harbour, Maine, USA. The mean (±SD) group sizes in the present study were similar for both years: 2.04 (1.29) in 2011 and 1.80 (0.79) in 2012. The maximum group size was six during 2011 and five during 2012. Four competitive groups were encountered where group sizes ranged between five and six during 2011 and four in 2012 (Table 2). It is notable that during both years, sightings of competitive groups were confined to the third week in April.

Baia Sal Rei, the largest bay in Boa Vista contained the highest abundance of humpback whales (see Fig. 2). Santa Monica contained fewer humpback whales during our surveys, while at the southern end of the island intermediate abundances of humpback whales were found, albeit this was based on lower effort (Fig. 2). Groups of whales which included calves (31.6% of all sightings) were exclusively within 4 km of the coast, in shallow waters (Figs 1 and 3; Table 1). High sightings per unit effort were detected throughout Baia Sal Rei, and in localised pockets around Punta do Sol and Lacacao (Fig. 2). Only one other cetacean species was encountered during this study: four sightings of rough-toothed dolphins (Steno bredanensis; mean group size of 4, range 2–7).

DISCUSSION
The results herein confirm that the western coast of Boa Vista is used by humpback whales for breeding and calving (Wenzel et al., 2009) and suggest that Baia Sal Rei is the most important known location for humpback whales breeding in the eastern North Atlantic. Mother/calf pairs were observed regularly in the study area, and competitive groups were observed on three occasions, confirming that that the area is used for both nursing calves and mating. The densities reported herein are intermediate between those estimated by Mattila et al. (1994) for Samana Bay in the West Indies (0.63 whales per km presented as 1.7 whales per hour at a speed of 5 knots) and by Swartz et al. (2001) for the wider eastern Caribbean (0.011 whales per km) in the western North Atlantic. Around Boa Vista, 31.6% of groups recorded included a calf but only 3.5% of groups were considered competitive groups, i.e. behaviour consistent with mating. This compares with 15.2% and 11.2%, groups with calves and competitive groups respectively in Samana Bay, Dominican Republic (Mattila et al., 1994). However, due to differences in platform height, vessel speed, sea state and geographical coverage, caution is warranted in interpreting the comparisons between these studies. Nevertheless, our findings of a high proportion of groups with calves and the presence of some competitive groups indicate that the waters to the west of Boa Vista provide an important habitat for nursing and breeding humpback whales.

When on their breeding grounds, humpback whales are generally distributed evenly throughout continental shelf waters (Zerbini et al., 2004; Félix and Botero-Acosta, 2011). However, the distribution of mother-calf pairs is usually much higher in shallow water, closer to shore (Mattila et al., 1994; Smultea, 1994; Zerbini et al., 2004; Félix and Botero-Acosta, 2011; Palacios et al., 2012). Our results are consistent with these findings, as calves were mostly recorded inside a sheltered bay (Baia Sal Rei) within 4 km of shore, and never beyond 6 km. Both Smultea (1994) and Félix and Botero-Acosta (2011) found that time of day and depth were important co-variates determining calf presence, suggesting that they sheltered in shallow bays during afternoons when trade winds are strongest. It should be noted however that due to their small size, calves are less conspicuous and there is a risk that they are detected at a lower rate further from shore where sea conditions are generally worse. Furthermore, the estimates presented herein may be conservative due to the adverse affects that high sea states have on visual detection rates.

Table 1
Summary of search effort, sightings and sightings rates of humpback whales during visual surveys inshore waters of Boa Vista, Cape Verde during April and May 2011 and 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Effort (hr)</th>
<th>Effort (km)</th>
<th>Sightings</th>
<th>Whales (n)</th>
<th>Calves (n)</th>
<th>Whales km⁻¹</th>
<th>Calves km⁻¹</th>
<th>Beaufort sea state (mode)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2011</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1,160.2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>301.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>1,462.0</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2012</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>311.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>180.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>492.0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.11</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.02</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The apparently small population size and the near-shore distribution of calves around Boa Vista may make these animals particularly vulnerable to shore-based activities and developments including potential impacts associated with blasting, dredging, vessel traffic and the use of high-speed craft and whalewatching which is expanding rapidly in Cape Verde. In 2008 ca 300 people went on whalewatching tours and this has increased to ca 5,000 in 2012 (PLS, unpublished data). The importance of implementing effective conservation measures and monitoring cannot be understated. The Cape Verdean authorities are therefore urged to consider strict protection of these whales and their breeding ground, and to urgently regulate whalewatching and other activities which may adversely affect or displace these whales. A management plan will help to evaluate gaps in existing marine conservation efforts in Cape Verde, and identify potential roles for a sanctuary. More research is necessary in this region to study the use of...
habitat, temporal residency, identification of critical habitats, and the interaction between whales and whalewatching boats.
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Fig. 3. Relative abundance and distribution of humpback whale calves (always attended by mothers) recorded in inshore waters of Boa Vista, Cape Verde during April and May 2011 and 2012 combined.
Table 2

Composition of groups observed during April and May 2011 and 2012 around Boa Vista (following Mattila et al., 1994).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group class</th>
<th>Number of groups</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Singleton</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups without calves</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– of which competitive groups</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups with calves</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– of which mother-calf pair only</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– of which mother, calf and escort trio</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– of which competitive groups</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>117</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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