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ABSTRACT

The Northwest Region (NWR) Marine Mammal Stranding Network was created in the early 1980s to provide a consistent framework in which to collect and compile data about marine mammal strandings in Oregon and Washington. The NWR includes the nearshore waters and 4,243km (2,632 n.miles) of coastline. For the years 1930-2002, there were 904 stranding events, representing 951 individual animals and 23 species: 4 species of balaenopterids, 1 eschrichtiid, 2 physeterids, 4 ziphiids, 10 delphinids and 2 phocoenids. Gender was determined for 343 males and 266 females. Only one mass stranding was recorded (sperm whales: 1979). A few species comprised the majority (71%) of stranding events in the NWR: harbour porpoise (34%), gray whales (23%), Dall’s porpoise (12%) and Pacific white-sided dolphins (4%). There was a steep increase (511%) in the number of stranding reports beginning in the 1980s with over 86% of all records occurring during the last two decades (1980s and 1990s). The general trend of increased reported strandings during the last two decades corresponds to the formation of a formal stranding network and a heightened interest and dedication by the public and government agencies in reporting and documenting strandings. For all events combined, the primary stranding peak was April-July. Since stranding recoveries depend heavily on reports from the general public, most stranding records were in summer when more people are present along the coastline. Individual species or species groups showed varying levels of conformity to this overall seasonal trend. The value and limitations of the use of strandings data in a management context are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

A systematic effort to collect and compile data on marine mammal strandings in Oregon and Washington began with the formation of the Northwest Region (NWR) Marine Mammal Stranding Network in the early 1980s (1980-1981). The network is composed of volunteers based at academic institutions, state and federal wildlife and fisheries agencies, veterinary clinics, enforcement agencies and by individuals who respond to or provide professional advice on handling stranding events (Scordino, 1991). Stranding Network activities are coordinated by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Response Program (MMHSRP)/Regional Coordinator based in Seattle, Washington, USA. Each stranding event is handled on a case-by-case basis because response capability varies between areas depending on available resources, personnel and logistics.

The NWR Stranding Network coverage area includes the nearshore waters and shoreline of Oregon and Washington north of 42°0’N and south of 49°0’N (the US/Canada border), including the inland waters of Washington State (Fig. 1). There are 3,767km (2,337 n.miles) of marine shoreline in Washington State and 476km (295 n.miles) of shoreline in Oregon.

Fig. 1. Geographic area covered by the Northwest Region marine mammal stranding network.

The data collected from stranded cetaceans provide information on distribution, mortality and seasonal movements (e.g. Scheffer and Slipp, 1948; Fiscus and...
OCEANOGRAPHY OF THE NORTHWEST REGION

The influence of wind on carcass movement varies depending on carcass height above the water line, winds and water currents. In the NWR, winds are typically from the west/northwest during the summer and from the east/southeast during the winter. Wind transitions usually occur during April-May and October-November (Hickey, 1979). Cetacean carcass distribution can be influenced by these current and wind conditions, along with upwelling and downwelling. Coastal upwelling occurs most frequently in summer and fall when it is promoted by northerly and northwesterly winds. The upwelling season runs from April to October, with maximum intensity in July and August (Bakun, 1973), its effects extending to slope and offshore waters. Upwelling intensity is usually greatest along the southern Oregon coast and diminishes northward, although it can occur anywhere along the Oregon-Washington coast under favorable wind conditions. The Columbia River defines the coastal boundary between Oregon and Washington. Its effluent contributes to approximately 60% of the freshwater entering the Pacific Ocean between San Francisco and the Strait of Juan de Fuca in the winter, and up to greater than 90% in the remainder of the year, heavily influencing the oceanography of the area (Barnes et al., 1972).

The continental shelf (waters typically < 200m deep) is less than 80km wide along the coast of Oregon and Washington. The continental slope (200-2,000m) is wider off Washington than Oregon (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1988). There are a series of submarine canyons that transect the shelf and slope along the Washington coast but are absent off Oregon. Several rocky submarine banks occur off Oregon. The shelf between Washington and Vancouver Island is interrupted by the Strait of Juan de Fuca (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1988).

McGowan (1974) describes the biogeography of the NWR as part of a transition zone, which includes the North Pacific and California currents where annual primary productivity is moderate, peaking in the late spring to early summer. Sea surface temperatures range from 13°-20°C in summer to 8°-17°C in winter (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1988).

The oceanic current system in the NWR is comprised of the California Current, Davidson Current and California Undercurrent, and can vary interannually (Hickey, 1979). The California Current flows southerly beyond the continental shelf throughout the year, but is typically strongest during the summer (Hickey, 1979). In winter, this current moves offshore and is replaced by the northward flowing Davidson Current.

El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events can influence sea surface temperature and current patterns in the NWR. Warm events of the equatorial Pacific Ocean generate significant sea surface temperature anomalies in North America (Aceituno, 1992; Bunkers et al., 1996; Hoerling and Kumar, 1997), which may lead to unusual distributions of cetacean species during years of abnormally warm water temperatures in the North Pacific (Osborne and Ransom, 1988; Ferrero and Tsunoda, 1989; Ferrero et al., 1994).
animals of the species in the respective region (Sergeant, 1979; Woodhouse, 1991). For instance, most species that are relatively rare in the NWR are represented by a small number of strandings. However, strandings may also reflect nothing more than a general region of occurrence and may not be related to a specific habitat preference.

Strandings are highly dependent on physical oceanographic features that bring the carcass to shore. Currents and wind affect when and where (and if) an animal strands. Other environmental factors might influence carcass distribution: water temperature affecting decomposition rate, degree of buoyancy (e.g. some cetaceans might sink soon after death while others float), and biodegradation/scavenging of the carcass before it reaches the shore. Animals may strand hundreds of kilometres from their normal range. The species that occur in the NWR frequently are either primarily cosmopolitan, or associated with the temperate/sub-Arctic, or mixed-water oceanographic regions (Rice, 1998). In the NWR, unusual distributions of cetacean species may be observed during years of abnormal influxes of warm water. This is most likely related to incursion of warm waters into this region, related to El Niño/El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events, allowing some species to move temporarily into more northerly latitudes.

When an animal is found stranded, it must be determined whether it is a live (at least one animal alive when first observed) or dead (all animal(s) dead when first observed) stranding. It is important to try and determine if the animal arrived at the stranding location under its own power or if it died at sea and washed ashore with tides or currents (Klinowska, 1985). The vast majority of strandings in the NWR were dead strandings. Only 68 of 951 individuals were live-stranded and subsequently either died (n = 59) or were returned to the water (n = 9). In general, we conclude that the stranding of a cetacean in a certain area at a particular time does not necessarily mean that it is representative of live animal distribution or relative abundance.

Species
The total number of stranding events recorded for the NWR during 1930-2002 was 904, representing 23 species and 951 individuals (Table 1). In 7 events, more than 1 animal was involved. Although most were adequately identified, 97 animals could not be identified to species level. Four species of balaenopterids, 1 eschrichtiid, 2 physeterids, 4 ziphiids, animals could not be identified to species level. Four species involved. Although most were adequately identified, 97 individuals (Table 1). In 7 events, more than 1 animal was involved. Although most were adequately identified, 97 animals could not be identified to species level. Four species of balaenopterids, 1 eschrichtiid, 2 physeterids, 4 ziphiids, although 120 (16%) of these values were estimated lengths.

Specific protocols for examinations and necropsies differ from examiner to examiner depending on the nature of the investigative inquiry, the experience of the examiner(s), the ultimate analysis envisioned for the samples collected, and the size or species involved. Measurements for total body length were recorded for 748 (79%) stranded individuals, however, 120 (16%) of these values were estimated lengths. The most common balaenopterid stranding was of minke whales, *Balaenoptera acutorostrata* (Table 1; Fig. 6). Four humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) stranded in Oregon, and two stranded in Washington. All of these strandings occurred on the outer coast, and in Oregon the strandings occurred in the mid-to southern half of the state. On the other hand, 3 of the 8 fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*) strandings in Washington occurred inside Puget Sound. These three fin whales had been struck by ships and were presumably carried into the Sound. Only one blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*) had stranded in the NWR. The death of this animal may also have been caused by a ship strike, as it was draped around the bow of a freighter. The strike was theorised to have occurred off California along the freighter’s route. The animal was a 16.2m female and based lengths on sexual and physical maturity of females (22.5m on lengths at sexual and physical maturity of females (22.5m and 24.8m, respectively, for the North Pacific; Omura, 1955; Ohsumi, 1979), this animal was probably a subadult.

Killer whales (*Orca sp.* or *Orcinus orca*) are widely distributed in the NWR in small numbers (Leatherwood and Dahlheim, 1978) and stranded infrequently. Populations in the NWR are divided into 2 distinct ‘forms’ called resident and transient (Baird and Stacey, 1988; Baird et al., 1992; Hoelzel et al., 1998; Ford et al., 2000). The residents can be further divided into 3 geographically-based communities: northern and southern residents and offshore whales, the latter two of which are found most commonly in NWR waters (Bigg et
al., 1987; Baird, 2001). Six of the 17 (35%) individual stranded killer whales were confirmed as southern residents (Osborne, 1999). Two of the individual stranded killer whales in Oregon were confirmed as transient (Stevens et al., 1989).

Five of the species that stranded in the NWR are considered rare inhabitants due to their normal preference for warm temperate and tropical waters: short-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*), false killer whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*), bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*), common dolphin (*Delphinus spp*) and rough-toothed dolphin (*Steno bredanensis*). Most of their strandings events \( n = 13 \) occurred during or within a year of an El Niño year(s) (Table 2). Their presence is thus considered extralimital rather than an extension of their range. Examples of unusual extralimital strandings in the NWR are bottlenose dolphins, common dolphins and rough-toothed dolphins (Osborne and Ransom, 1988; Ferrero and Tsunoda, 1989; Ferrero et al., 1994).

**Reporting efficiency**

There are strong geographical and seasonal biases in stranding reporting efficiency and effort. Whether cetacean strandings are recorded depends upon many factors including human activity and awareness, the physical environment and climate, and seasonal animal movements. Seasonal movements of animals into the NWR do account for the rise in strandings of some species as do an increased number of visitors to coastlines during these same months, leading to more frequent reporting. Strandings in the NWR were recorded most frequently in regions with high human population or activities, particularly near towns or areas popular with vacationers, such as the San Juan Islands in northern Puget Sound and along the Oregon coastline. The general trend of increased reported strandings during the last two decades (Table 3) corresponds to the formation of a formal stranding network and a heightened interest and dedication by the public and government agencies in reporting and documenting strandings.
Temporal distribution of strandings

Seasonality

In the NWR, cetacean strandings were recorded throughout the year, although generally there were more strandings reported from May to September (Fig. 7). This is probably due to one or more of (1) increased presence of the public at the coast; (2) increased abundance of certain species during this period; (3) oceanographic features (e.g. wind speed and direction, currents or upwelling/downwelling – see the ‘Oceanography’ section above). This general trend, was not applicable to all species or species groups (see below). Coastal upwelling occurs most frequently in summer and autumn when it is promoted by northerly and northwesterly winds. The upwelling season runs from April to October, with maximum intensity in July and August (Bakun, 1973). Conclusions regarding seasonality of strandings could not be drawn for species with small stranding sample sizes (<15 stranding events has been arbitrarily chosen). Seasonal distribution of stranding events was analysed for species in which the total sample size was >15 over the whole period covered in this report (Table 4).

For species listed in Table 4, actual seasonal distribution was compared to an expected even distribution across all seasons using a Chi-squared test. Seasonal stranding patterns differed significantly (P < 0.001) from expected even seasonal distribution for harbour porpoise, gray whales and Dall's porpoise, whereas the other species showed no significant differences.

Spring (March-May)

There are several species that stranded most frequently in the spring months (Table 4). Although a small portion of the gray whale population spends the summer along the Pacific coast between Vancouver Island and central California (Flaherty, 1983; Sumich, 1984; Calambokidis and Quan, 1999), most gray whales migrate along the coast in the NWR travelling between Mexico and the Bering and Chukchi

![Fig. 4. Spatial distribution of stranded Dall's porpoises in Oregon and Washington (1930-2002).](image)

![Fig. 5. Spatial distribution of stranded Pacific white-sided dolphins in Oregon and Washington (1930-2002).](image)
Seas. However, they migrate closest to the NWR coastline during the spring months (April–June) when most of their strandings are observed (Fig. 8b). Animals located in the far north Arctic region (e.g. north central Bering Sea) during the summer months usually begin migrating south in late autumn to early winter (Rugh et al., 2001). Surveys have been conducted off the Washington coast during winter to ascertain whale distribution there that time of year, as it appears whales are also present across the continental shelf during periods of non-migration (Shelden et al., 1999). Subadults (n = 29; 32%) and adults (n = 27; 30%) represented over half (62%) of the gray whales that stranded in the spring, based on age classes defined in Norman et al. (2000).

Although killer whales are present year-round in Washington waters, they are most commonly sighted in Puget Sound during summer and early autumn (Leatherwood et al., 1982). They have been reported off the Washington coast during April (Fiscus and Niggol, 1965); however, data on winter distribution are lacking (Baird, 2001). Killer whale populations in the NWR are divided into two distinct ‘forms’ called residents and transients (Baird and Stacey, 1988; Hoelzel et al., 1998; Ford et al., 2000). The residents can be further divided into three geographically-based communities: northern and southern residents and offshore whales, the latter two of which are found most commonly in NWR waters (Bigg et al., 1987; Baird, 2001). Of the killer whale strandings, 41% (n = 7) stranded in the spring; four of which were neonates or young calves. The number of calf strandings is not surprising given this age class is especially vulnerable to disease, predation and separation from the pod.

Although Dall’s porpoise strandings were reported in every month, the highest numbers were in spring (n = 47; 44%; Table 4; Fig. 8a).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Stranding date</th>
<th>ENSO year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-finned pilot whale</td>
<td>1 September 1937</td>
<td>1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 March 1968</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 November 1977</td>
<td>1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 December 1980</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 June 1998</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 August 2002</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False killer whale</td>
<td>15 May 1937</td>
<td>1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 May 1984</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 May 1987</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottlenose dolphin</td>
<td>9 March 1988</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common dolphin</td>
<td>February 1942</td>
<td>1941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 March 1976</td>
<td>1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 November 1983</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 November 1985</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough-toothed dolphin</td>
<td>August 1980</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 January 1991</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 October 1992</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summer (June-August)**

The number of harbour porpoise strandings is highest in July and August (Fig. 8c) and January (see below). This may be partially due to the summer gillnet fishery 1 May – 15 September, with peak landings of chinook salmon in July and August in north Washington and along the southwest coast of the Strait of Juan de Fuca (Gearin et al., 1994). The
Sperm whale strandings occur throughout the year. During the summer months, this species can be found anywhere in the North Pacific. They were seen in every season except winter (Dec.- Feb.) in Washington and Oregon (Green et al., 1992). Mate (1981) has found sperm whales to be relatively common off the coast of Oregon between June and September. This observation was not based on formal surveys, but rather on sighting information gathered while at sea for other projects.

Minke whales stranded in almost every month of the year in Washington, which seems to support a year-round presence of this species in the region. In survey efforts by Everitt et al. (1980), most observations of this species were made during the spring and summer months, although sightings did occur in all months except February and November. The reduction in number of autumn and winter sightings may reflect a reduction in sighting effort and efficiency rather than a seasonal reduction in numbers.

Pacific white-sided dolphins were the most abundant cetacean sighted in slope and offshore waters of Oregon and Washington during aerial surveys conducted in 1992 by Green et al. (1993) during the months of March-May. Pike and MacAskie (1969) noted this species annually moves inshore in winter and offshore in summer, with inshore densities highest in autumn. Strandings have occurred in every month except April, which may be an anomaly (Fig. 8d).

Four of the fin whale strandings are noteworthy since they occurred in the autumn months (Sep — Nov), outside the usual period of sighting this species in coastal northwest waters (Leatherwood et al., 1982). Three of the four were animals struck by ships (a fourth fin whale was struck by a ship in summer — August 2002). Two of the ships originated from the Alaskan Peninsula (Dutch Harbor) and the third from Japan. Both of these ships crossed the Gulf of Alaska and arrived in Puget Sound waters with the whale draped over the bow of the ship. It was presumed the whales were struck somewhere in the Gulf of Alaska or near the entrance to the Strait of Juan de Fuca. The circumstances of the fourth autumn stranding were not described (Scheffer and Slipp, 1948). Likewise, of the 4 humpback whales that stranded in Oregon, 1 stranded in December which is also outside the usual season in which this species is observed in this area (Calambokidis et al., 1996).

No inference possible

No inferences about seasonality could be made for species with small sample sizes (e.g. <15 stranding events). Some of the species such as the false killer whale, short-finned pilot whale, bottlenose dolphin, common dolphin and rough-toothed dolphin are considered rare inhabitants and usually prefer warm temperate and tropical waters.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Spring (Mar-May)</th>
<th>Summer (Jun-Aug)</th>
<th>Autumn (Sep-Nov)</th>
<th>Winter (Dec-Feb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minke whale</td>
<td>21 (52%)</td>
<td>6 (29%)</td>
<td>3 (14%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray whale</td>
<td>200 (105)</td>
<td>60 (30%)</td>
<td>12 (6%)</td>
<td>22 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperm whale</td>
<td>18 (63%)</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
<td>6 (33%)</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuvier’s beaked whale</td>
<td>17 (74%)</td>
<td>4 (24%)</td>
<td>2 (11%)</td>
<td>4 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killer whale</td>
<td>16 (64%)</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
<td>3 (19%)</td>
<td>5 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-sided dolphin</td>
<td>34 (92%)</td>
<td>8 (24%)</td>
<td>8 (24%)</td>
<td>9 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbour porpoise</td>
<td>303 (76%)</td>
<td>150 (50%)</td>
<td>46 (15%)</td>
<td>31 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dall’s porpoise</td>
<td>107 (47%)</td>
<td>34 (32%)</td>
<td>16 (15%)</td>
<td>10 (9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 7. Monthly distribution of cetacean stranding events in Oregon and Washington (1930-2002).*

*Figure 8a. Densities of selected cetaceans in the North Pacific Ocean (1930-2002).*

 seasonal distribution of harbour porpoises is unknown, but Barlow (1987) observed higher densities of harbour porpoises in northern Oregon and Washington in a September survey compared to surveys completed in January and February. In a year-long survey conducted by Calambokidis et al. (1987) in the Strait of Juan de Fuca, harbour porpoises were the most commonly sighted cetacean with the most numerous sightings recorded in autumn (specifically September). Based on the latter survey, one would expect to see more harbour porpoise strandings in September, but this may not be the case due to the fact that reporting effort is more efficient in the summer months due to increased numbers of individuals inhabiting the coastlines and encountering stranded animals at this time of year.

Dall’s porpoise also show higher numbers of stranding events in the spring and summer (n = 81, 75%; Fig. 8a); although at least in Puget Sound they occur year-round (Miller, 1989; 1990). In Calambokidis et al. (1987), an insufficient number of Dall’s porpoise sightings were made to make inferences about seasonal distribution. However, Everitt et al. (1980) noted that although this species has been sighted throughout the inland waters of Washington State year-round, it was more abundant during the spring and summer months.

The seasonal distribution for most ziphids is not well defined. Therefore, no reliable inferences could made from the stranding data for these species other than that more beaked whales were reported stranded in the spring and summer months, presumably due to better weather and increased human presence along the coastline during these times of year.

**Autumn/Winter (September-February)**

Pygmy sperm whale (Kogia breviceps), common dolphin and Risso’s dolphin (Grampus griseus) stranded primarily in the autumn and winter months (n = 5, 4, 5 events, respectively). These strandings most likely represent extralimital occurrences of these species that usually inhabit warm temperate and tropical water rather than representing populations found in the NWR. Of the killer whale strandings, 50% (n = 8) occurred during these months.

No seasonality

Sperm whale strandings occur throughout the year. During the summer months, this species can be found anywhere in the North Pacific. They were seen in every season except winter (Dec.- Feb.) in Washington and Oregon (Green et al., 1992). Mate (1981) has found sperm whales to be relatively common off the coast of Oregon between June and September. This observation was not based on formal surveys, but rather on sighting information gathered while at sea for other projects.

Minke whales stranded in almost every month of the year in Washington, which seems to support a year-round presence of this species in the region. In survey efforts by Everitt et al. (1980), most observations of this species were made during the spring and summer months, although sightings did occur in all months except February and November. The reduction in number of autumn and winter sightings may reflect a reduction in sighting effort and efficiency rather than a seasonal reduction in numbers.

Pacific white-sided dolphins were the most abundant cetacean sighted in slope and offshore waters of Oregon and Washington during aerial surveys conducted in 1992 by Green et al. (1993) during the months of March-May. Pike and MacAskie (1969) noted this species annually moves inshore in winter and offshore in summer, with inshore densities highest in autumn. Strandings have occurred in every month except April, which may be an anomaly (Fig. 8d).

Four of the fin whale strandings are noteworthy since they occurred in the autumn months (Sep — Nov), outside the usual period of sighting this species in coastal northwest waters (Leatherwood et al., 1982). Three of the four were animals struck by ships (a fourth fin whale was struck by a ship in summer — August 2002). Two of the ships originated from the Alaskan Peninsula (Dutch Harbor) and the third from Japan. Both of these ships crossed the Gulf of Alaska and arrived in Puget Sound waters with the whale draped over the bow of the ship. It was presumed the whales were struck somewhere in the Gulf of Alaska or near the entrance to the Strait of Juan de Fuca. The circumstances of the fourth autumn stranding were not described (Scheffer and Slipp, 1948). Likewise, of the 4 humpback whales that stranded in Oregon, 1 stranded in December which is also outside the usual season in which this species is observed in this area (Calambokidis et al., 1996).

**No inference possible**

No inferences about seasonality could be made for species with small sample sizes (e.g. <15 stranding events). Some of the species such as the false killer whale, short-finned pilot whale, bottlenose dolphin, common dolphin and rough-toothed dolphin are considered rare inhabitants and usually prefer warm temperate and tropical waters.
Spatial distribution of strandings
The spatial distribution of stranding events differed between the two states as well as within each state. The majority of stranding events took place in Washington \((n = 511; 56\%)\) compared to Oregon \((n = 393; 44\%)\). Within Washington (Fig. 9), three areas showed a higher percentage of total stranding events: (1) Willapa Bay/Long Beach peninsula (28%); (2) San Juan Islands archipelago (25%); and (3) the far northwest coast of the state near (11%). In Oregon (Fig. 9), the areas with the highest percentage of total stranding events were: (1) northern and central Lincoln County (28%); (2) Clatsop/northern Tillamook Counties (24 %); (3) southern Lincoln County/northern Lane County (11%); and (4) Coos County (9%). In Washington, areas (1) and (2) have high percentages of strandings due to: increased numbers of certain marine mammal species moving inshore in the summer (e.g. Pacific white-sided dolphins, killer whales), resulting in higher stranding numbers, and due to the increased human population in the same months, leading to increased reporting efficiency and effort. In area (3), there has been seasonal stranding coverage due to the presence of NMFS biologists in that area on a yearly basis every month of the year. In Oregon, areas (1-3) are the most populated areas of the coastline, with increased reporting effort during the summer months and increased numbers of marine mammals moving inshore at this time of year. Area (4) in the southern half of Oregon receives many stranding reports presumably due to the proximity of the slope waters to the coastline compared to the rest of the region, and greater upwelling intensity in this area, both of which may bring cetaceans closer to the coastline (Bakun, 1973). Fifty of the stranding events did not have specific enough geographic locale information for determination of stranding location.

Trends in the geographic distribution of stranding events are evident for some species or species groups. For instance, gray whales stranded along the coastline of both states, but most occurred on Washington’s outer coast (Fig. 3). This species experienced an unusual mortality event during 1999 and 2000, when 32 and 25 animals, respectively, stranded in the NWR relative to annual averages of 6/year (SD = 32.2). This standard deviation incorporates upward bias since many years with possible zero stranding rates are not included. It is unknown whether those years had a true zero stranding rate or lack of reporting. The role of ship strikes, disease and biotoxins as factors in this mortality event could not be assessed as too few carcasses were sampled adequately to assess these factors. Intensive gray whale foraging may have caused localised prey depletion, or...
environmental changes such as the El Niño event in 1998, or longer-term climatic changes, could have resulted in shifts in prey availability in the summer feeding grounds (Le Boeuf et al., 2000; Moore et al., 2001; 2003).

The deep-diving species (Families Physeteridae, Kogiidae and Ziphiidae) were recorded along the entire coast of Oregon and outer Washington, but stranded more commonly in Oregon (Table 1; Fig. 10). All strandings of Baird’s beaked whale (*Berardius bairdii*) occurred in Washington, while 75% of Cuvier’s beaked whale strandings took place in Oregon. Strandings of Stejneger’s beaked whale were evenly distributed between the two states. Although sample sizes in these species are very small, reasons for their spatial distribution may be: (1) the close proximity of the slope waters (suitable habitat for beaked whales) to the shore in Oregon, versus Washington; (2) in Washington State, the continental shelf is furrowed by at least seven submarine canyons which may also be suitable habitat for beaked whales. Fourteen out of 15 (93%) strandings in Washington State were located on a beach across from a submarine canyon; and (3) winds and currents may affect distribution of carcasses onto the shore.

Of delphinid strandings, Pacific white-sided dolphins were the most numerous (Table 1; Fig. 5). They were the most abundant cetacean sighted off of Oregon and Washington in a survey conducted in April-May (Green et al., 1993), with greater numbers sighted off Oregon than Washington. Strandings occurred with a greater frequency in Oregon versus Washington. This may be due to their preference for shelf and slope waters (Stacey and Baird, 1994), which tend to occur closer to shore in Oregon. Killer whale numbers were fairly well distributed between Oregon and Washington (Fig. 10).

No inferences could be drawn on spatial stranding distribution of species with very small sample sizes. Species such as the bottlenose dolphin, rough-toothed dolphin,
common dolphin, false killer whale, short-finned pilot whale and striped dolphin (*Stenella coeruleoalba*) are more likely to strand in the NWR when stretches of warm water reach northward.

Despite the small sample size for Risso’s dolphins some inferences can be made about regional sightings of the species. They occur in the slope and offshore waters of Oregon and Washington (Green *et al.*, 1992) and are represented by a fairly even distribution of strandings between the two states.

Harbour porpoises were the most numerous stranded cetacean in Oregon and the second most common in Washington. In Oregon, harbour porpoises stranded most commonly in the northern and central parts of the state (Fig. 2). In Washington, Dall’s porpoise strandings were concentrated within Puget Sound (Fig. 4). The large number of harbour and Dall’s porpoise strandings in the NWR supports what is known about their abundance and distribution in this region (Leatherwood *et al.*, 1982; Barlow, 1987; Miller, 1989; 1990; Calambokidis and Barlow, 1991; Calambokidis and Quan, 1999). Prior to 1975, there was only one harbour porpoise stranding record from the NWR (in 1943). However, since the mid-1970s, stranding numbers for this species have remained fairly consistent (~ 10-25/year).

It is possible that the proportions of species in the stranding record reflect the relative abundance of live animals of the species in the respective region (Sergeant, 1979; Woodhouse, 1991). For instance, most species that are relatively rare in the NWR are represented by a small number of strandings. However, strandings may also reflect nothing more than a general region of occurrence and may not be related to specific habitat preference. We conclude that the stranding of a cetacean in a certain area at a particular time does not mean that it is representative of live animal distribution.

Stranding event numbers were compared to neighbouring regions: California and Alaska. In California, 1,300 cetaceans stranded from 1983-2000, representing 25 species, and in Alaska 1,390 cetaceans stranded from 1975-2000, consisting of 15 species (US Department of Commerce, 1975-2000). In terms of species composition, the most commonly stranded species in California were common dolphin (*Delphinus spp.*; *n* = 435), gray whale (*n* = 309), harbour porpoise (*n* = 306) and Pacific white-sided dolphin (*n* = 70). The most commonly stranded species in Alaska were gray whale (*n* = 275), harbour porpoise (*n* = 75), killer whale (*n* = 69) and humpback whale (*n* = 65). Stranding summaries from the late 1970s and early 1980s were not consistently broken down by species so these numbers may be artificially low. Three of the four most commonly stranded cetaceans in the NWR (harbour porpoise, gray whale and Pacific white-sided dolphin) were also in the top four stranded species for California. This is not surprising as these species are distributed in both regions and would therefore be expected to have similar stranding frequencies and occurrences. Common dolphins did not contribute to a significant portion of the NWR stranding numbers, however, as they are rarely found in the NWR. In Alaska, gray whales and harbour porpoises comprised the two most stranded species as they did in the NWR, but in reverse order. This again is not unexpected since large aggregations of gray whales migrate to their summer feeding grounds in Alaska. Although Dall’s porpoises were sighted more often than harbour porpoises during summer pop and aerial surveys in Alaska (Waite and Hobbs, 1998; Waite *et al.*, 2001; Moore *et al.*, 2002), they do not seem to strand as frequently as harbour porpoises presumably due to their preference for generally deeper waters than harbour porpoises, therefore their carcasses may sink before reaching shore. Greater killer and humpback whale stranding numbers may occur in Alaska due to larger populations of these species inhabiting the waters of this state.

### Sex of stranded animals

Sex was determined for 609 stranded individuals (Table 1). Sex could not be determined in 342 individuals (36%) due to advanced decomposition of the carcass, examiner’s inexperience in sexing animals or carcass position. Sex ratios were not significantly different from 1:1 for the most commonly stranded species: harbour porpoise (105 males:93 females, *χ*² = 2.48, *P* = 0.115), gray whales (85 males:63 females, *χ*² = 2.78, *P* = 0.095), Dall’s porpoise (44 males:25 females, *χ*² = 3.06, *P* = 0.080) and Pacific white-sided dolphin (18 males:10 females, *χ*² = 2.29, *P* = 0.131).

### Mass strandings

The only mass stranding in the database involved sperm whales. On 16 June 1979 near Florence, Oregon, a group of 41 animals (28 females and 13 males) live-stranded (Rice *et al.*, 1986). All of the males were subadults, of the adult females, 3 of the 9 were pregnant and none were lactating. The oldest female was 58 years old. One of the females was sexually immature and the remaining were sexually mature. There were neither calves nor animals under 10 years of age. The low number of mass strandings in the NWR may reflect the lack of relative coastline features which may make cetaceans vulnerable (e.g. sloping beaches, geomagnetic disturbances).

### Uses of stranding data for management

Data gathered from stranding events can help facilitate management in several ways. It provides an overview of distribution and stranding trends usually observed in the NWR which can provide an early warning system in the event of an unusual stranding event. Monitoring of stranding patterns (spatial and temporal) helps identify unusual mortality events. For instance, an extraordinary high number of strandings of gray whales in 1999 and 2000 warranted further attention (Le Boeuf *et al.*, 2000; Norman *et al.*, 2000). In addition, stranding data may supplement existing knowledge on distribution of cetaceans in the NWR already obtained from aerial and ship surveys of the region (e.g. Fiscus and Niggol, 1965; Everitt *et al.*, 1979; Barlow, 1987; Brueggeman, 1990; 1992; Green *et al.*, 1992; Green *et al.*, 1993; Calambokidis *et al.*, 1997). For some species of cetaceans, little is known beyond what is learned from strandings. For example, in the NWR little is known about northern right whale dolphin (*Lissodelphis borealis*) distribution and ecology except from stranded specimens (*n* = 8). Few specimens of Hubbard’s beaked whale (*Mesoplodon carlhubbsi*) have been recovered in the NWR. They are very cryptic and difficult to identify at sea. Their presence in the NWR would be unknown if not for two stranded animals. Stranded specimens provide an invaluable source of information on anatomy and taxonomy (particularly through genetic analysis), since access to live animals is limited and expensive and there are few direct hunts (or bycatch schemes) that can provide specimen material.

Stranded marine mammals do not constitute an ideal sentinel system for population health as they do not represent the entire population (Aguilar and Borrell, 1994).
addition, samples from stranded animals are infrequently age and sex structured. Biological data such as life history, reproductive success, feeding habit, and disease progression are not typically available. Nonetheless, contaminant analysis of tissues collected over a stock’s range may identify patterns of exposure (Varanasi et al., 1993; 1994; Krahn et al., 2001). There are limitations, however, to the use of stranded specimens for contaminant analysis. The effect of disease and nutritive condition may affect lipid content of the tissues (Aguilar et al., 1999). Most often the time of death of a stranded animal is unknown, hence samples collected may not adequately reflect tissue pollutant concentrations. Changes in the levels of contaminants occur post-mortem due to the inevitable physiological changes and breakdown of tissues associated with autolysis (Reijnders et al., 1999). The effect of weather (e.g. wind and direct sun) on a carcass may also cause loss of the more volatile organic compounds present in tissues (Aguilar et al., 1999).

Examination for evidence of human interaction in strandings may point to a need for closer monitoring of a specific geographic area or for development of appropriate mitigation measures to reduce take levels in certain fisheries (Gearin et al., 1994; 2000) as well as threats from ship strikes, shooting or other direct mortality. Fishery interactions with gray whales and ship strikes have been reported. There were six mortalities due to fisheries interactions reported in 1999 and eight in 2000, and two fatal ship strikes, one in 1999 and one in 2000 (Anngiss and Lodge, 2002). In 2000, the Center for Coastal Studies (Provincetown, MA) and NMFS cosponsored a large whale disentanglement training workshop in Seattle, WA for primary network responders in the NWR. The discovery of stranded animals bearing evidence of ship strike (e.g. four ship-struck fin whales reported in the NWR in 2002) may prompt future management measures such as reduction of vessel speed through areas of known large whale aggregations or sensitive habitat (Laist et al., 2001). In cases of suspected shooting (which are often a result of fishery interaction), involvement of state (e.g. Oregon and Washington Departments of Fish and Wildlife) and federal (e.g. NMFS) enforcement agencies will help mitigate marine mammal-fishery interaction problems. Cetaceans may be affected by oil spills such as in a primary feeding area by contaminating prey items (Moore and Clarke, 2002).

Stranded animals may also provide information on population movement patterns or residency of a given species. It may be possible to draw correlations between beached species and their parent populations in the region (Woodhouse, 1991). For instance, the location of a NWR resident killer whale stranding during the winter provides data on pathogens that could possibly cause disease in humans or domestic animals that come in contact with these animals.

Analyses of stranded animals may lead to the identification of novel diseases or patterns of antibiotic resistance not previously known in cetaceans (Foster et al., 1996; Fox et al., 2000). Health trends of free-ranging populations of marine mammals may be assessed through investigation of stranded animals, particularly those that have live-stranded. Necropsy investigations of stranded animals provides data on pathogens that could possibly cause disease in humans or domestic animals that come in contact with these animals.

Since the implementation of a coordinated stranding network in Oregon and Washington, a greater number of strandings have been recorded and a significant amount of data has been collected. For example, contaminant levels in stranded NWR gray whales have been compared to harvested animals in Russia (Krahn et al., 2001). Identification of infectious diseases in stranded cetaceans can serve as a basis for developing a standardised necropsy and disease testing protocol (Gaydos et al., 2004) for stranded southern resident killer whales which were recently listed as depleted under the Marine Mammal Protection Act (NMFS, 2003). Future participation of the network will continue to further understanding and insight into the mortality, life history, disease processes and stock structure of cetaceans within the waters of the NWR.
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